

Draft Street Trading Policy 2017

Section 2.1. By introducing a Street Trading Policy, the Council seeks to create a vibrant and diverse street trading environment which enhances the character, ambience and safety of the local environment whilst balancing the needs of the Borough's residents and current businesses.

Do you agree with the overall Objectives?

Street Trading Policy - Section 2.1 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
I think the traders that have been trading in the town centre make the precinct look more tatty and run down.	N/A	Comment - This supports the introduction of a formalised Street Trading Policy, specifically the requirement for stalls to have a high standard of presentation and appearance.
Street trading can only be the cause of more town center shops to be closed and at the rate this is happening at present we cannot be seen to condone the increase that I am sure it would cause.	N/A	Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing.
The Street traders that currently operate in the precinct are scruffy, and in the way some of the time,	N/A	Comment - This supports the introduction of a formalised Street Trading Policy, specifically the requirement for stalls to have a high standard of presentation and appearance.
As a trading partner within the town with business rates, these businesses are in direct competition with ourselves and don't bring in visitors to the town. I have no objections to markets in general, but the types of stalls I am seeing on the street do nothing for the towns appeal coffee tents in particular make the town centre look unloved. Why can't we have market stalls that promote Yorkshires great county with a farmers markets and bakery stalls.....	N/A	Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing. In addition the Policy includes requirements for stalls to have a high standard of presentation and appearance.

Street Trading Policy - Section 2.1 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>Prejudices those business's which are established in retail premises</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing.</p>
<p>The proposals seem draconian and entail large fees and too much administration for the traders and council officers. It feels like a nanny state trying to control everything. Why should street traders need a DBS, do all other shop keepers have them? Are they working alone with children? What one officer thinks is a 'smart' appearance for a stall or van will be different to another officer's or the public or trader's. Ruling that it must 'complement' and not cause 'nuisance' to shop owners is also up for debate as many might object just because they do not want competition outside. I think overall that this policy will deter people from applying meaning they will operate perhaps illegally taking their chances and will reduce the ambiance and vibrancy of the town centre if fewer stalls are present, especially charity or community groups.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Comment - The Policy seeks to encourage a thriving street trading environment that co-exists and enhances local premises based business, whilst also ensuring all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated. The requirement for a criminal record disclosure is considered essential when determining an applicant's suitability and to safeguard the public. Premises based shops fall outside the scope of street trading and therefore cannot be considered in terms of this Policy. The proposed fees have been set on a cost recovery basis and shall be regularly reviewed to ensure they continue to reflect the costs of delivering the street trading regime.</p>
<p>In an area with closed shops, over national average amounts of second hand shops and tat shops, why would we ever want to encourage street trading? From my own observations, this brings dubious characters selling tat and rangam that either can be bought online, or is available in shops elsewhere in the town.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - The Policy seeks to encourage a thriving street trading environment that co-exists and enhances local premises based business, whilst also ensuring all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated.</p>

Street Trading Policy - Section 2.1 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
Pavements are for people, not businesses.	N/A	Comment
<p>As an established mobile ice cream vendor covering Eastfield - Barrowcliff - Ayton, having built up over many years, I have seen first hand the issues with street trading when more than one van competes in the same area, my personal concerns are the safety of the children & local pets, so many times I have witnessed near misses due to other companies trading on the rounds I have worked so very hard to establish. I know for a fact if more than one trader is allowed to trade in the same area it will lead to a serious accident as the children run between the vans. In the past I have helped lost children & pets & even stopped children from bullying other children. I strongly feel the licence issued should not only secure the traders area but also discourage an stop other companies from taking my hard earned income, these other vans appear every summer most are not insured displayed or even held a 5* hygiene rating an all have unfairly & dangerously tried to make money from dangerously overtaking me, cutting me up, following me even to the point of threatening & abusing me, the police have been involved a number of times. This is a very hard industry & I have not only built a great reputation with my locals but the parents know an trust me too.</p>	N/A	<p>Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing. In addition the Policy also aims to ensure all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated. Fees have been set on a cost recovery basis and shall be regularly reviewed to ensure they continue to reflect the costs of delivering the street trading regime.</p>

Street Trading Policy - Section 2.1 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>My overheads include a substantial amount of cost including a 5* environmental hygiene certificate to achieve every year, goods in transit insurance, public & product liability, level 2 food hygiene, catering insurance, commercial vehicle insurance & road tax not to mention my advertising business pages. I believe the trader's licence to be a good idea but definitely feel it should be administered at a cost of no more than £100 per year due to the fact my trading is seasonal an has time restraints, an ice cream van is not allowed to sell weekends before 12 or after 7p m, weekdays 3 - 7pm limiting the hours we are able to earn an income & if the licence was to be above £100 it would put an even greater financial strain on my takings & feel the licence needs to be value for money. I am already working for less than the minimum wage but at least I am trying to earn a living an build up a business with a good reputation my locals & Scarborough can be proud of. my main concern being I may be put out of business if the traders licence is not issued fairly, & feel I will have no option than to fight for what I believe is right.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing. The comments regarding the proposed fees and times of operation are noted, fees have been set on a cost recovery basis and shall be regularly reviewed to ensure they continue to reflect the costs of delivering the street trading regime.</p>

Draft Street Trading Policy 2016

Section 3.3. In the interests of clarity, consistency and fairness, the Council is proposing to make all streets 'Consent Streets' throughout the Borough, i.e., trading on any street within the Borough where the public have access without payment, will require the permission of the Council.

Do you consider this to be reasonable?

Street Trading Policy - Section 3.3 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>I do not believe that all streets are suitable for street trading for a whole number of reasons, space, layout , type of stalls and what they are selling can make the town appear downmarket.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - It is acknowledged that not all streets may be suitable for street trading for the reasons outlined. Concerning the appearance of stalls, the Policy includes a requirement that stalls shall have a high standard of presentation and appearance and that the consent holder must satisfy all reasonable requirements of the Council in this regard. The Policy also states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing.</p>
<p>I don't think there should be street traders. I think the council should encourage more businesses to move into the many empty shops that exist around the town. Businesses should be offered incentives to operate in the empty shops.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Comment - The Policy seeks to encourage a thriving street trading environment that will co-exist and benefit local premises based business.</p>

Street Trading Policy - Section 3.3 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
street trading should be done in designated areas not inresidential areas	No	Comment - It is expected that street trading in residential areas shall be limited to occasional mobile vendors and alike. However it is important that all streets are covered to ensure all street trading (including unauthorised trading) can be effectively regulated.
We have only in recent years formed a walk precinct to use it for this type of trade is in effect taking it away again even short term and when the Police in their wisdom stop a street lighting open event because of OVERCROWDING I know when the continental market was on the effect was horrendous as you could not walk down Westborough without being jostled at every step.	N/A	Comment - The effect on users of the highway is a key consideration when determining applications and already forms part of the Policy.
There's too much restriction in Scarborough as it is, I suppose it's more difficult ncome for the council	N/A	Comment - The Policy seeks to encourage a thriving street trading environment that co-exists and enhances local premises based business. However it is equally important that all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated. The proposed fees have been set on a cost recovery basis and shall be regularly reviewed to ensure they continue to reflect the costs of delivering the street trading regime.
big brother	N/A	Comment
It should be unregulated trading	No	Comment - The objectives at section 2.1 of the Policy outline why It is important that all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated.

Street Trading Policy - Section 3.3 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
The concept of Street Trading does not enhance the high quality standards which SBC should be promoting, Street trading lowers the quality of the urban environment.	N/A	Comment - The Policy seeks to create a vibrant and diverse street trading environment which enhances the character, ambience and safety of the local environment whilst balancing the needs of the Borough's residents and current businesses. In addition the Policy specifically requires stalls to have a high standard of presentation and appearance.
All streets is over the top and in my view undesirable. The main Town Centre streets and shopping areas where the local footfall year-round is greatest together with the tourist/visitor areas where seasonal footfall is greatest should be the only areas where in my view it would not be unacceptable.	No	Comment - It is expected that street traders will mainly wish to trade in town centre areas and those with high footfall. However it is important that all streets are covered to ensure all street trading (including unauthorised trading) can be effectively regulated.
Public streets are exactly that and putting in a regime that limits diversity, initiative and entrepreneurs is very sad.	N/A	Comment - The Policy seeks to create a vibrant and diverse street trading environment which enhances the character, ambience and safety of the local environment whilst balancing the needs of the Borough's residents and current businesses. The Policy also aims to ensure all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated.
too restrictive, and does not encourage new flexible business, that may enhance the area, to trade	No	Comment - The Policy seeks to balance the needs of the public and street traders, whilst ensuring all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated.
It's not fair on residents and users of the street when multiple vehicles are being sold in one specific area that causes a hazard at the main junction.	N/A	Comment - The Policy states that the commercial selling of vehicles on the highway falls within the scope of street trading and will therefore be subject to regulatory action as appropriate.
This would be appropriate for a town centre area but not beyond	No	Comment - It is expected that street traders will mainly wish to trade in town centre areas and those with high footfall. However it is important that all streets are covered to ensure all street trading (including unauthorised trading) can be effectively regulated.

Street Trading Policy - Section 3.3 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
I do not feel that every street would be suited to trading of some sort. Some type of criteria should be established. How it can be claimed that such a policy is likely to make our streets "safer" is not credible, in my opinion. Just what level of inconvenience to the general public is being contemplated, or put into operation?	No	Comment - It is expected that street traders will mainly wish to trade in town centre areas and those with high footfall. However it is important that all streets are covered to ensure all street trading (including unauthorised trading) can be effectively regulated. The Policy includes detailed criteria to be used when considering applications including the needs of the area shall be considered.
Why should the Scarborough council decide weather or not it is right for Filey or Whitby as they are all very much different places. These judgements should be made by the town councils.	No	Scarborough Borough Council is the regulatory authority for street trading across the whole of the Borough, however it is accepted that Town Council's may hold valuable knowledge of the local area. As such it is proposed to amend the Policy to add Town Councils as a consultee.
People with Pedlars Licences should be able to trade wherever	N/A	Comment - Peddling falls outside the scope of street trading.
No mention of consultation with residents in affected streets. Particular examples 3.4.2 car parking, litter 3.4.3 obstructing other road users. Cars should be off road	No	Comment - It is not considered necessary to consult with members of the public on all applications, however the Policy does state that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications.
Local community groups and charities would be effected where payments would be taken out much need income	N/A	Comment - It is appreciated charitable and community events wish to maximise the funds raised, however it is also important that the Council adopts a consistent approach for all street traders. Fees have been set on a cost recovery basis and shall be regularly reviewed to ensure they continue to reflect the costs of delivering the street trading regime.

Street Trading Policy - Section 3.3 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>In one sense the policy is perfectly reasonable but on occasion residents and children sometimes have ad hoc sales events in their front yards/gardens e.g. garage sales, sales of plants/cakes for charity. It would be a shame to deter such innocent activities.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - it is unlikely that the low level activities described would be deemed street trading, however each case would be treated on its own merits.</p>

Draft Street Trading Policy 2016

Section 4.0. The proposed application process is outlined at section 4 of the policy. The council believes this process to be robust and fair whilst also providing appropriate safeguards for local residents and businesses within the Borough.

Do you agree the process achieves these aims?

Street Trading Policy - Section 4.0 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
as already stated I do not believe all streets and areas should be open to it .	No	Comment - It is expected that street trading in residential areas shall be limited to occasional mobile vendors and alike. However it is important that all streets are covered to ensure all street trading (including unauthorised trading) can be effectively regulated.
no street trading in reseidential areas	N/A	Comment - It is expected that street trading in residential areas shall be limited to occasional mobile vendors and alike. However it is important that all streets are covered to ensure all street trading (including unauthorised trading) can be effectively regulated.
I have already made my point on this	N/A	Comment
In 10 years of living in Scarborough the only problem I have had, or seen is with students forcing themselves in people's faces to advertise a venue. Does it really need this, shouldn't the council be looking at things which deserve attention, like endless streams of traffic, drunks,sand left for days on the foreshaw	N/A	Comment - Although it is acknowledged these are important issues, they fall outside the scope of street trading and this consultation.

Street Trading Policy - Section 4.0 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>I don't believe you should you allow stalls to trade on the streets in direct competition with businesses that are paying rates to the council?</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing.</p>
<p>I believe that retaining the application fee in the case of a refused application is wrong. People may make mistakes in their application and be required to submit another application. £100.00 seems an excessive amount to ask for administration costs, especially if it is refused. I believe that if an application is unsuccessful, the payment should be returned in full. The amount seems fair for a successful street trading licence as this money can be recuperated in trade, however for those struggling to make a small business venture work; retaining the application cost for unsuccessful applications will be detrimental to future success. Scarborough is an area of deprivation and this ruling does not help.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Comment - The proposed fees have been set on a cost recovery basis and shall be regularly reviewed to ensure they continue to reflect the costs of delivering the street trading regime. Where an applicant has made a genuine error they will generally be given the opportunity to correct the error without the requirement to pay any additional fee.</p>
<p>The safeguards cost additional money and experience shows in other Seaside towns encourages low value trading which should be focused in the appropriate premises (e.g. The Market)</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - The safeguards proposed are considered essential when determining an applicant's suitability. The Policy also requests applicants to state the articles to be sold which will be considered when determining applications.</p>

Street Trading Policy - Section 4.0 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>The application system sounds over complicated with too much paperwork and high fees.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - The proposed application process is considered necessary to ensure the Council is properly able to determine applications. The proposed fees have been set on a cost recovery basis and shall be regularly reviewed to ensure they continue to reflect the costs of delivering the street trading regime.</p>
<p>For small business traders who may only trade on minimal occasions the costs involved are for too prohibitive, and will stop any new traders coming to the area. The £100 application fee will restrict many seasonal traders from even applying,as this will be too great a cost if the application is unsuccessful. 3 month option is far too short for a seasonal trader, also there is no 6 month option. Other councils (including others within North Yorkshire) take a much more encouraging approach to actively promote street traders that enhance and provide a service to the local area.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Comment - it is important that a consistent approach is adopted for all street traders. It is accepted that there may be a need for a 6 month Consent and as such has now been included within the Policy. The proposed fees have been set on a cost recovery basis and shall be regularly reviewed to ensure they continue to reflect the costs of delivering the street trading regime.</p>
<p>I have never had any knowledge of this policy yet trading on the street still appears to be happening? Also with towing truck type vehicle and a large van in operation in regards to the selling. It's not even their street!</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - Historically there has been no comprehensive Policy in place with regard to street trading. This Policy seeks to consistently regulate existing and new street traders. With regard to street trading from vehicles, the effect on users of the highway is a key consideration when determining applications and already forms part of the Policy.</p>

Street Trading Policy - Section 4.0 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
I do not feel that the appropriate safeguards will be robustly enforced, if at all, in many instances. Just how much Scarborough Borough officer time might be allocated to this initiative, when we are constantly advised that present "commitments" cannot be fulfilled in so many ways, due to lack of funding and other resources eg staffing levels. Have the costs and benefits, to the Residents, been adequately evaluated, in this instance?	N/A	Comment - The Policy outlines the Council's approach to enforcement; which will be supplemented with a guidance document to address practical and operational matters pertinent to enforcement. This will provide an effective and robust approach to enforcement as already in place for a number of other regulatory functions administered by the Council.
It lacks transparency/clarity and given there is no right of appeal transparency/clarity of process is crucial to avoid criticisms of nepotism.	No	Comment - where an applicant is aggrieved by the Council's decision they have the option to make a corporate complaint or seek a Judicial Review of the decision made.
I think some of the fees are excessive - they seem to far exceed any actual administrative costs that might be incurred by the council it raises the suspicion that this entire process is simply a money making scheme by the council	N/A	Comment - The proposed fees have been set on a cost recovery basis and shall be regularly reviewed to ensure they continue to reflect the costs of delivering the street trading regime.
just a council money making scheme that will destroy the street culture	N/A	Comment -the Policy seeks to create a vibrant and diverse street trading environment which enhances the character, ambience and safety of the local environment whilst balancing the needs of the Borough's residents and current businesses. The proposed fees have been set on a cost recovery basis and shall be regularly reviewed to ensure they continue to reflect the costs of delivering the street trading regime.
I think that £100 administration fee does seem a little excessive	N/A	Comment - The proposed fees have been set on a cost recovery basis and shall be regularly reviewed to ensure they continue to reflect the costs of delivering the street trading regime.

Draft Street Trading Policy 2016

Section 4.1.4. As part of the application process, an applicant is required to produce a basic Disclosure & Barring Service Criminal Disclosure Certificate dated within 3 months of the application.

Do you agree this requirement is reasonable in the interests of an applicant's suitability and public safety?

Street Trading Policy - Section 4.1.4 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
dbs is going too far for traders and shop keepers	No	Comment - The requirement for a criminal record disclosure is considered essential when determining an applicant's suitability and for safeguarding the public. Premises based shops fall outside the scope of street trading and therefore cannot be considered in terms of this Policy.
The average contact time between seller and customer must be minimal so chance for crime must be almost none existent, do shopkeepers have to do this, if not it's discrimination	N/A	Comment - The requirement for a criminal record disclosure is considered essential when determining an applicant's suitability and for safeguarding the public. Premises based shops fall outside the scope of street trading and therefore cannot be considered in terms of this Policy.
Unfair additional costs to traders. If required should be met by Local Authority	No	Comment - The requirement for a criminal record disclosure is considered essential when determining an applicant's suitability and for safeguarding the public.. The cost incurred in obtaining a basic disclosure forms part of the application process and therefore must be met by the applicant.
Streer trading encourages anti social behaviour, theft and restricted movement for disabled.	N/A	Comment - The Policy seeks to ensure all street trading activities are properly regulated, the effect on all users of the highway is a key consideration when determining applications and already forms part of the Policy.

Street Trading Policy - Section 4.1.4 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
The Disclosure & Barring system is terribly inefficient and slow	N/A	Comment - Basic Level Disclosures may be obtained via Disclosure Scotland, disclosures are generally returned within two weeks.
Do all shop owners have dbs clearance? Why should a stall holder be any higher risk than a shopkeeper? In fact stallholders are more in the public eye than in private premises.	N/A	Comment - The requirement for a criminal record disclosure is considered essential when determining an applicant's suitability and for safeguarding the public. Premises based shops fall outside the scope of street trading and therefore cannot be considered in terms of this Policy.
Agree with the DBS but not alongside all of the other costs.	N/A	Comment - The proposed fees have been set on a cost recovery basis and shall be regularly reviewed to ensure they continue to reflect the costs of delivering the street trading regime.
In the case of community and charity events this will add an unnecessary burden to the planning and delivery of the event, and such a requirement is not seen as being reasonable and appropriate for public trading- are shop assistants also required to provide similar assurances?	N/A	Comment - The requirement for a criminal record disclosure is considered essential when determining an applicant's suitability and for safeguarding the public. However, it is accepted that short-term community and charitable based events represent a relatively low risk and therefore the Policy has been amended to remove the requirement for traders at such events to obtain a basic criminal record disclosure.
The length of time it takes to get such paperwork and applicants details should be enough for scrutinising their background.	N/A	Comment - Basic Level Disclosures may be obtained via Disclosure Scotland, disclosures are generally returned within two weeks.
I consider 3 months to be too longer time lapse considering this applies to persons having contact with general public which may include children without parental supervision.	No	Comment - 3 months provides a reasonable timeframe for applicants to obtain a disclosure together with the other information required when submitting an application and is not considered detrimental in terms of safeguarding.
All Disbarring Certificates, etc. should be provided, prior to any permissions being granted.	N/A	Comment - This is already a requirement of the Policy.

Street Trading Policy - Section 4.1.4 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
Doesnt happen in shops why should it happen to traders.	No	Comment - The requirement for a criminal record disclosure is considered essential when determining an applicant's suitability and for safeguarding the public. Premises based shops fall outside the scope of street trading and therefore cannot be considered in terms of this Policy.
The DBS is only good if they have a "known" criminal record. I think this extra paperwork may put more people off applying. Shops traders do not require DBS certificates.	No	Comment - The requirement for a criminal record disclosure is considered essential when determining an applicant's suitability and for safeguarding the public. Premises based shops fall outside the scope of street trading and therefore cannot be considered in terms of this Policy.
I doubt if shopkeepers are required to have this - why should other traders Do you assume they are disreputable	No	Comment - The requirement for a criminal record disclosure is considered essential when determining an applicant's suitability and for safeguarding the public. Premises based shops fall outside the scope of street trading and therefore cannot be considered in terms of this Policy.
I general agree - but officers need to exercise discretion. Street trading may be one means by which recent offenders may be rehabilitated.	N/A	Comment - The requirement for a criminal record disclosure is considered essential when determining an applicant's suitability and for safeguarding the public. Premises based shops fall outside the scope of street trading and therefore cannot be considered in terms of this Policy.
Because if such a policy requirement applied to all 50 or so stall holders at Scalby Fair (17.6.17) it would be impossible to implement. Scalby Fair committee is happy for these requirements to apply to our Applicant and 2 authorised assistants only. We do not permit "car boot" type stalls		Comment - The requirement for a criminal record disclosure is considered essential when determining an applicant's suitability and for safeguarding the public. However, it is accepted that short-term community and charitable based events represent a relatively low risk and therefore the Policy has been amended to remove the requirement for traders at such events to obtain a basic criminal record disclosure.

Street Trading Policy - Section 4.1.4 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
Although in agreement with the principles of this and can understand the thinking behind it, we are just a little concerned that this would again result in more costs for us smaller businesses.	N/A	Comment - The requirement for a criminal record disclosure is considered essential when determining an applicant's suitability and for safeguarding the public. It is also considered that the benefits outweighing the relatively low cost of a disclosure.
Not reasonable	N/A	Comment - The requirement for a criminal record disclosure is considered essential when determining an applicant's suitability and for safeguarding the public.

Draft Street Trading Policy 2016

Section 4.2. It is proposed to offer general Street Trading Consents for periods of 3 and 12 months only, however only holders of a 12 month Consent will be able to renew their Consent.

Do you consider this to be reasonable?

Street Trading Policy - Section 4.2 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
Again, I don't believe that street trading should be allowed. They make the town centre look tatty and run down. The existing empty retail units should be used and promoted.	N/A	Comment - The requirement for stalls to have a high standard of presentation and appearance forms a key part of the Policy.
The permits should be no longer than a month and weekly should be sufficient .	No	Comment - It is considered that requiring street traders to renew every month would be overly burdensome on both street traders and the Council.
So people with money can renew, it's discrimination	N/A	Comment - It is recognised that seasonal based traders may wish to trade in excess of 3 months which at present would require the submission of a new application. To address this the Policy has been amended to include a 6 month consent in addition to the 3 month consent thereby allowing additional seasonal trading. However, as 3 and 6 month consents are deemed to be seasonal in nature, the proposal to allow only 12 month permits to be renewed remains.
it should be level playingfield	No	Comment - It is recognised that seasonal based traders may wish to trade in excess of 3 months which at present would require the submission of a new application. To address this the Policy has been amended to include a 6 month consent in addition to the 3 month consent thereby allowing additional seasonal trading. However, as 3 and 6 month consents are deemed to be seasonal in nature, the proposal to allow only 12 month permits to be renewed remains.

Street Trading Policy - Section 4.2 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
there is no obvious reason why they should be treated differently	N/A	Comment - It is recognised that seasonal based traders may wish to trade in excess of 3 months which at present would require the submission of a new application. To address this the Policy has been amended to include a 6 month consent in addition to the 3 month consent thereby allowing additional seasonal trading. However, as 3 and 6 month consents are deemed to be seasonal in nature, the proposal to allow only 12 month permits to be renewed remains.
There is no allowance for 6 months. I feel that this may restrict the chance of an vibrant extented season. Holders of a 3 months licence should be able to renew once. This would allow for a trial period before committing to an extended period.	Yes	Comment - It is recognised that seasonal based traders may wish to trade in excess of 3 months which at present would require the submission of a new application. To address this the Policy has been amended to include a 6 month consent in addition to the 3 month consent thereby allowing additional seasonal trading. However, as 3 and 6 month consents are deemed to be seasonal in nature, the proposal to allow only 12 month permits to be renewed remains.
Maybe only a month is required	No	Comment - limiting permits to 1 month or less is considered too onerous on both the applicant and the Council.
Many traders who operate on Harbour Land do so at short notice and do not trade for 12 months of the year. Most are seasonal and allowing an initial 3 month consent with an option to then renew would cover them for the summer season without penalising them for time they will not use.	Yes	Comment - It is recognised that seasonal based traders may wish to trade in excess of 3 months which at present would require the submission of a new application. To address this the Policy has been amended to include a 6 month consent in addition to the 3 month consent thereby allowing additional seasonal trading. However, as 3 and 6 month consents are deemed to be seasonal in nature, the proposal to allow only 12 month permits to be renewed remains.

Street Trading Policy - Section 4.2 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>Street Trading is in conflict with Bona Vide Traders and depreciates the value of existing premises.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing.</p>
<p>Either the street performers are sufficiently talented to entertain people in public or they are not. Also what they do is deemed by the Council through an "audition" to be acceptable, or it is not. Equally insurances i.e. H & S : and Public Liability are valid all year and kept up to date gives more time flexibility to performers and to the Council. An applied 3months or 12 months will have the effect of limiting the talent pool. Better to have a large pool with a venue booking system available so that everybody gets a fair crack at the assorted venues and times on offer. It then becomes their responsibility to organise themselves to get their individual needs on a first come first served basis. In the event of people just not turning up at their allocated or chosen time the Council can decide what should be done in a way through a Terms and Conditions Contract.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - The Street Trading Policy does not apply to Street Performers unless they wish to sell articles.</p>

Street Trading Policy - Section 4.2 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
seems to discriminating against the inexperienced couls you not allow conversion from 3 to 12 if the trader requires it	No	Comment - It is recognised that seasonal based traders may wish to trade in excess of 3 months which at present would require the submission of a new application. To address this the Policy has been amended to include a 6 month consent in addition to the 3 month consent thereby allowing additional seasonal trading. However, as 3 and 6 month consents are deemed to be seasonal in nature, the proposal to allow only 12 month permits to be renewed remains.
There could be conflict between stall holders and shopkeepers if allowed on all streets in the borough and possibly be detrimental to the overall appearance of the towns.	N/A	Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing.
What if they want 1 month or 7 months? Trying to regulate every option will be a nightmare of paperwork.	Yes	Comment - Agree that it is only practical to offer a limited number of consents, however the need for a 6 month consent has been recognised for seasonal traders and the Policy amended accordingly.
As already mentioned, it is making it too difficult and expensive and will only seek to deter any traders from the area.	Yes	Comment - It is recognised that seasonal based traders may wish to trade in excess of 3 months which at present would require the submission of a new application. To address this the Policy has been amended to include a 6 month consent in addition to the 3 month consent thereby allowing additional seasonal trading. However, as 3 and 6 month consents are deemed to be seasonal in nature, the proposal to allow only 12 month permits to be renewed remains.
I would think that initially, everyone who is given consent, should only be granted a 3 month licence to check whether they act and trade correctly and do not encroach upon similar businesses nearby. If all is then satisfactory, they could be given a 12 month licence, renewable if all is then well.	No	Comment - The Policy is considered robust enough to negate the need for a probationary trading period.

Street Trading Policy - Section 4.2 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>the 3 monthly permit should also have a renewable option so as to give permit holders flexibility and the council can still keep control with short term contracts</p>	No	<p>Comment - It is recognised that seasonal based traders may wish to trade in excess of 3 months which at present would require the submission of a new application. To address this the Policy has been amended to include a 6 month consent in addition to the 3 month consent thereby allowing additional seasonal trading. However, as 3 and 6 month consents are deemed to be seasonal in nature, the proposal to allow only 12 month permits to be renewed remains.</p>
<p>Until an applicant has tried out some thing for say 3 months how will they know it is going to be worth a 12 month permission or repeat of their 12 months.</p>	Yes	<p>Comment - It is recognised that seasonal based traders may wish to trade in excess of 3 months which at present would require the submission of a new application. To address this the Policy has been amended to include a 6 month consent in addition to the 3 month consent thereby allowing additional seasonal trading. However, as 3 and 6 month consents are deemed to be seasonal in nature, the proposal to allow only 12 month permits to be renewed remains.</p>
<p>One or two day visits by traders would be unavailable or too expensive. This would deter possible diversity.</p>	No	<p>Comment - It is expected that temporary traders would usually be trading as part of a short term market etc and would therefore be covered by the consent in place to cover such an event.</p>
<p>I think 12 months is too long & should need to reapply</p>	No	<p>Comment - Given a number of traders may wish to trade all year round in certain locations, 12 months consents are considered necessary and appropriate.</p>
<p>Why restrict renewals for 12month applicants what is the justification.Is it admin costs??? Some street trading may not be sustainable for 12 month episodes so renewing fees would be high if mutiple applications need to be made.</p>	Yes	<p>Comment - It is recognised that seasonal based traders may wish to trade in excess of 3 months which at present would require the submission of a new application. To address this the Policy has been amended to include a 6 month consent in addition to the 3 month consent thereby allowing additional seasonal trading. However, as 3 and 6 month consents are deemed to be seasonal in nature, the proposal to allow only 12 month permits to be renewed remains.</p>

Street Trading Policy - Section 4.2 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>Very seasonal on the coast, why not 6 months to cover the season?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Comment - It is recognised that seasonal based traders may wish to trade in excess of 3 months which at present would require the submission of a new application. To address this the Policy has been amended to include a 6 month consent in addition to the 3 month consent thereby allowing additional seasonal trading. However, as 3 and 6 month consents are deemed to be seasonal in nature, the proposal to allow only 12 month permits to be renewed remains.</p>
<p>No – The Whitby Regatta organisation request that any licences issued for 3 or 12 month periods exclude other planned local events that hire Street Traders to subsidise the cost of running that event. In particular the Whitby Regatta, which is now in its 177th year, would be severely financially disadvantaged if licences were issued during the Regatta weekend. The booking, control and policing of traders within all areas of the town during this period has always been a commitment that our marshals and volunteers have accepted.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Comment - Restricting trade during such events would unfairly disadvantaging consent holders who have been issued a 3,6 or 12 month Consent. It should also be noted that such events tend to take place during the busiest times of the year thereby increasing the severity any such restriction would have.</p>
<p>Scarborough is only busy for a few months of the year...there should be a monthly one too</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Comment - It is considered that a monthly consent would be overly burdensome on both street traders and the Council.</p>
<p>12 months is a long commitment period. Surely a 3 month pass is ok to extend another 3 months.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Comment - To address this the Policy has been amended to include a 6 month consent in addition to the 3 month consent thereby allowing additional seasonal trading. However, as 3 and 6 month consents are deemed to be seasonal in nature, the proposal to allow only 12 month permits to be renewed remains.</p>

Street Trading Policy - Section 4.2 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
I can't see why not	N/A	Comment
All consents should be allowed to be renewed	No	Comment - It is recognised that seasonal based traders may wish to trade in excess of 3 months which at present would require the submission of a new application. To address this the Policy has been amended to include a 6 month consent in addition to the 3 month consent thereby allowing additional seasonal trading. However, as 3 and 6 month consents are deemed to be seasonal in nature, the proposal to allow only 12 month permits to be renewed remains.
If Scalby Fair need to obtain a 12 month permit in order to not have to make a fresh application every year then this could involve us in paying £600 + £200 each year which isn't acceptable	N/A	Comment - Community events would only be required to pay £25 per trader up to a maximum of £200. This charge would be per annual event, the £600 fee applies only to 12 month consent holders who trade regularly during the year.

Draft Street Trading Policy 2016

Section 5.1.1. The Council is proposing to consult with a number of key stakeholders including North Yorkshire County Council Highways Authority, Trading Standards, North Yorkshire Police and North Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Services. In addition it is proposed to consult with a number of departments within the Council such as Planning, Environmental Services, Tourism & Culture, and Safer Communities etc.

Do you agree with the full list of proposed consultees as outlined in para 5.1.1 of the Policy?

Street Trading Policy - Section 5.1.1 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
No traders.	No	Comment
Why are these organisations opinions relevant. Only the Police should really be consulted in my opinion	N/A	Comment - It is important to consult with all the proposed stakeholders when determining applications to try and establish any potential impacts street trading may have.
Has the council proposed this to the businesses directly affected by these market stalls, and polled there opinions?	N/A	Comment - A number of local businesses and traders have been consulted, in addition the consultation was available on the Council's website.
it should also include members of the general public	No	Comment - The Policy contains a comprehensive decision making process, detailing a number of factors that would be considered when determining applications and as such it is not considered necessary to consult with the general public on all applications.
Many traders who operate on Harbour Land do so for short periods and at short notice. Wider consultation, whilst appropriate for larger, longer running setups, would impact on these smaller traders, create additional administration (for a trader only wishing to trade for a day or a weekend) and ultimately has the potential to impact on revenue.	No	Comment - It is important that the Council adopts a consistent approach to street trading, as such streets traders wishing to trade on harbour land will be required to submit applications in accordance with the Policy.

Street Trading Policy - Section 5.1.1 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
I think that major landlords with large numbers of properties should be consulted like Yorkshire Coast Homes. Additionally the Ambulance Service and health too. Public safety in an emergency	No	Comment - The list of consultees involved in the decision making process are listed in the Policy at 5.1.1 which includes the Council's Environmental Services Department. It is not considered necessary or particularly relevant to consult with Landlords.
The obvious omission from any consultation with those who are involved in running business's already. Many on the proposed list have no real interest in making any realistic recommendations or from past experience appear to have any skills in making appropriate decisions/recommendations (e.g. The fiasco of Seamer Road TIA total failure, chaos on Scalby road in Newby, the dangerous traffic situation regarding the Tesco Express store by the traffic lights on Burniston Road)	No	Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing. As such it is not considered necessary to consult with local businesses on each application.
Local shops who pay business rates and may be adversely affected by street trading competition should be consulted.	No	Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing. As such it is not considered necessary to consult with local businesses on each application.
Think the shop owners who pay council rates should be included in the consultation They are having stalls outside their space	No	Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing. As such it is not considered necessary to consult with local businesses on each application.
I do not think already over stretched services facing budget cuts, such as the fire brigade and police etc, will be able to give full and proper due consideration and research time for this scheme.	N/A	Comment - It is considered important that such agencies are given the opportunity to comment on applications where possible.

Street Trading Policy - Section 5.1.1 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
Local Businesses do not appear to have representation. The requirements of street traders need to be balanced alongside those of businesses paying full rents and rates for permanent premises.	No	Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing. As such it is not considered necessary to consult with local businesses on each application.
local businesses need to have some say what will happen	No	Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing. As such it is not considered necessary to consult with local businesses on each application.
Chamber of trade/commerce and/or other permanent retail business organisations	No	Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing. As such it is not considered necessary to consult with local businesses on each application.
There are no trade/trade org on list. Town Cn Manager/South Bay Traders etc Goods sold/sales position etc has implications for access etc Also the blind/handicapped need an input	No	Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing. As such it is not considered necessary to consult with local businesses on each application.
Scalby Fair and eg Burniston Show are sufficiently long established and reputable to be consulted in their own right	No	Comment - It is not considered necessary to consult with established Community Fairs etc. on applications.

Street Trading Policy - Section 5.1.1 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
Parish Councils	No	Comment - It is not considered necessary to consult with Parish Councils on applications, particularly given the additional resources this would require. However feedback would be welcome from Parish Councils and other stakeholders in relation to Consents granted.

Draft Street Trading Policy 2016

When considering applications the Council has proposed a consultation period of 10 working days for consultees to respond with any objections or comments relating to the application.

Do you consider a period of 10 working days to be sufficient?

Street Trading Policy - Section 5.1.2 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
I think one month would be a better amount of time. Although, again, I don't believe that street traders enhance the town in any way. What would enhance it would be businesses moving into the empty units.	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications.
if there are any complaints at all then the permits should not be given as when an alteration to a private property is applied for .	N/A	Comment - All comments received will be carefully considered when determining any application for a Consent.
30 DAYS	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications.
Firstly in answer to a previous question, you need to consult with any affected residents groups. I think that the consultation period should be longer, such as 21 days.	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
I feel it should be at least a 3 week period to enable all relevant checks to take place	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications

Street Trading Policy - Section 5.1.2 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
30 days	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
people need longer to make up their minds - some lead busy lives	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
S B C does not have the confidence of the public, I think I right in saying, when Googling corrupt councils, Scarborough comes up top ?? 100% of residents I speak to think council not fit for purpose, so getting back to 10 day question we need to make sure that nothing is rushed through and maybe benefiting and councilor	N/A	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
As previous advised, many traders who operate on Harbour Land do so at short notice and a 10 day consultation period would inhibit them from doing so and ultimately reduce revenue generation from the land.	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications. In addition it is important that the Council adopts a consistent approach in respect of street trading.
Minimum of 2 weeks, preferably 3 weeks	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
21 days would be a more reasonable time to allow for holidays etc.	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications

Street Trading Policy - Section 5.1.2 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
Less than ten days, five day and no longer. if longer than the proposed time scale, solicitors are likley to step in and contest any local instructions, thus time would be wasted in court cases??	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
The consultation period extended over the holiday period.	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
Because if a selection and appropriate investigation process is in place and appropriately managed to really get the best for all concerned out of the whole project, it is going to take a lot longer than that to sort the quality and quantity of individuals and draw up a performing schedule for each venue. Alternatively the whole thing can be done on a first come first served free for all as long as you have a performing licence, and nobody gets any value from the project and it is abandoned because it is unworkable.	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
they may be away trading before getting the letters maybe even in another country one month would be better	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
In some circumstances you need a longer period to gather your facts together to present your argument	No	Comment - The consultation period is 10 working days, however it may take up to 4 weeks to properly consider and determine an application.

Street Trading Policy - Section 5.1.2 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
10 days is too short - fails to account for key people being on holiday etc.I think it should be at least 2 weeks (14 days)	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
Think 14 working days would be more appropriate	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
See previous answer, many public services already over stretched providing their basic services.	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
one month is a more realistic expectation	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
Could be elongated to a 2 week period so an extra 4 days.	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
General consultation periods are either 21 or 28 working days to give consultees the appropriate amount of time to consider the application, and to allow for a full response to be given. This should be no exception.	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
21 days would seem to be more reasonable to allow for holidays and the possible necessity for someone to obtain legal advice.	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications

Street Trading Policy - Section 5.1.2 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
It is not a long enough consultation period particularly in view of the incredibly slow way SBC departments work.	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
I think 10 working days is too short a period as this could be less than 2 weeks. A 21 day period (3 weeks) is a more appropriate realistic time period.	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
Many factors can delay information reaching the applicant so 21 days seems reasonable.	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
28 days is suitable, 10 days is not practical time to respond in	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
I feel it should be longer, say 15 or 20 working days.	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
I would have concerns that 10 days may not be sufficient time to make everyone concerned aware of the impact street trading may have on their area.	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
The time is too short. 30 days would be better in my opinion	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications

Street Trading Policy - Section 5.1.2 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
28 days	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
Why not ask the consultees how long they might need	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
28 days	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
21 days the same as a planning application	No	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications

Draft Street Trading Policy 2016

When considering applications the Council will take into account the objectives of the policy in addition to a number of other relevant factors. These include public order, nuisance and safety, the needs of the area, highway requirements, and suitability of the applicant, proposed location and type of vehicle/stall together with any environmental considerations.

Do you agree that the factors to be considered are sufficient?

Street Trading Policy - Section 5.1.4 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
The council should speak to the businesses you intend these stalls to compete against, as I would be surprised if they would be happy with stalls placed right outside there shops Make the town centre special, bring in quality markets and not just anything that wants to trade the street	No	Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing. As such it is not considered necessary to consult with local businesses on each application.
who decides the suitability of the applicant and can they be trusted against prejudice and corruption?	No	Comment - Section 5.2 of the Policy outlines the determination and appeals process.
Health should be involved too	N/A	Comment - The Council's Environmental Services Department is a consultee and Public Safety is listed as a factor when considering applications.
Street Trading does not enhance the overall shopping experience, many visitors to the area want to experience a sophisticated "Street Scene" not a scruffy load of tatty stalls. The emphasis should be on improving the urban environment with, tidying up empty shops and demonstrating our area is a quality location to visit.	N/A	Comment - This supports the introduction of a formalised Street Trading Policy, specifically the requirement for stalls to have a high standard of presentation and appearance.

Street Trading Policy - Section 5.1.4 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
The Council need to have a very clear definition in their own minds of what is and is not suitable depending on the time of year, time of day and the venues at which the different types of entertainers or tradetr will be allowed to book..	N/A	Comment - This supports the introduction of a formalised Street Trading Policy, the factors which will be considered when determining applications are listed at Section 5.1.4 of the Policy.
they need enough money to use a guest house and not need to sleep rough	N/A	Comment - This falls outside the scope of Street Trading.
There are too many requirements that will take council staff and trader time which are in short supply.	N/A	Comment - The Policy seeks to encourage a thriving street trading environment that co-exists and enhances local premises based business, the Policy also aims to ensure all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated.
The Council should also consider, and be influenced by whether the event is a true, wholly charitable event, in which case the legislation should not apply . The Rotary Club of Scarborough Cavaliers has for several years organised an annual Community Fair in the town centre. All stall holders are registered charities or not for profit charitable organisations, and no profits are generated. This event is a great opportunity for local community charities to raise their profiles and generate funds: they currently have many challenges securing volunteer input and support for the event : the impositions of this legislation would create further hindrances to their fundraising efforts.	N/A	Comment - Although it is appreciated charitable and community events wish to maximize the funds raised, it is important that the Council adopts a consistent approach for all street traders. In addition the fees have been amended to create a sliding scale of charges for such events which is capped at £200.

Street Trading Policy - Section 5.1.4 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>There appears to be a considerable amount of wishful thinking in this proposal, which demonstrates, to me, a large degree of a lack of understanding of just what might be required to ensure that Street Traders are behaving in an acceptable and safe manner, at all times. There is a general perception of lack of enforcement, in various areas of public life, throughout Scarborough Borough. Letters of concern etc are not dealt with in a timely fashion, in the opinion of some Residents. Having an impressive set of rules is one thing, ensuring full compliance is quite another. One often has to complain repeatedly, for maybe more than a year, just to try and have various aspects of eg., anti-social behaviour addressed and maintained. Meanwhile, the Residents suffer ongoing inconvenience.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - By introducing a Street Trading Policy, the Council seeks to create a vibrant and diverse street trading environment which enhances the character, ambience and safety of the local environment whilst balancing the needs of the Borough's residents and current businesses. The Policy also aims to ensure all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated.</p>
<p>The impact on local businesses needs to be taken into account.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing.</p>

Draft Street Trading Policy 2016

Section 7.4. It is important that the Council has the ability to amend, revoke or choose not to renew a Street Trading Consent where justifiable. Reasons for the Council taking such action may include non-compliance, nuisance, obstruction, safety or any other reasonable grounds.

Do you support the reasons as summarised above and set out in the Policy at 7.4?

Street Trading Policy - Section 7.4 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
If stalls and street traders are to be allowed (and I hope they are not) I believe that the appearance of the stalls should also be taken into account. And again, I don't believe that the stalls and traders do enhance the town centre at all.	No	Comment - This supports the introduction of a formalised Street Trading Policy as the Policy includes requirements that stalls shall have a high standard of presentation and appearance.
Will the trader be able to appeal and put their case, will clear and transparent justice be available for all the public to see?	N/A	Comment - Section 5.2 of the Policy outlines the decision making and appeals process.
Back to the importance of process transparency and clarity so that applicants who have been refused or consent withdrawn are clear as to Council decision making and legal justification as defined by legislation .	N/A	Comment - Where the Council chooses to refuse an application or revoke a Consent, full reasons for that decision will be provided.
There should be an Appeals process before a formal (and possibly very expensive) Corporate Complaint or Judicial Review.	N/A	Comment - Section 5.2 of the Policy outlines the decision making and appeals process. In addition there is no cost in making a Corporate Complaint.

Draft Street Trading Policy 2016

Appendix A1. It is proposed to attach the standard conditions included at Appendix A of the policy to all Street Trading Consents. In particular the Council proposes to require Consent Holders and authorised assistant(s) to wear a street trader identification badge provided by the Council. In addition Consent Holders shall be required to display the Consent on the vehicle/stall to which it relates.

Do you agree with the above conditions together with those included at Appendix A1 of the policy?

Street Trading Policy - Appendix 1 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
Will any one read it.	N/A	Comment - Consent holders will be expected familiarise themselves with the conditions attached to their consents.
Expensive to manage and police, do not allow any Street Traders, this is then very easy to manage as they should NOT be there, save money and help to bring life to our shops.	N/A	Comment - The Policy seeks to encourage a thriving street trading environment that co-exists and enhances local premises based business, whilst also ensuring all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated.
I think the pedestrianised areas of Westborough etc should be kept as free of vehicles as possible.	N/A	Comment - North Yorkshire County Council's Highways Department will be consulted on all applications.
What happens if the badge or certificate is lost in the post, does not arrive in time or is accidentally forgotten? The enforcement team should have a list of who is authorised as well.	N/A	Comment - This will be in place.
For the reasons already stated: a wholly charitable event should not be so constrained	N/A	Comment - In respect of community and similar events the main organiser only will be required to wear a street trader identification badge.
I think it is sufficient to have a consent document of some type on their person, not necessarily on show or being worn.	No	Comment - The open display of badges and Consents is important in terms of protecting both the public and traders.

Street Trading Policy - Appendix 1 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>Sometimes we may swap a van around for a different site, depending on what events we have on. So linking a badge to a vehicle may cause us some issues.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - As the type of stall/vehicle forms part of the decisions making process, it will not be possible to swap stalls/vehicles without prior approval from the Council.</p>

Draft Street Trading Policy 2016

3.4 and Appendix A2 - A4. It is also proposed to offer a number of Consents for Farmers' Markets, Charity and Community events, etc. Such events are sometimes ad-hoc and held over shorter timescales.

Do you agree with these additional types of Street Trading Consents and the additional conditions proposed in respect of them?

Street Trading Policy - 3.4 and Appendix A2 to A4 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
Provide unfair competition to local traders, and in many cases inferior poor quality produce (Farmers Markets). These events are not well policed, resulting in unregistered operators gaining access.	N/A	Comment - This supports the introduction of a formalised Street Trading Policy as the Policy includes requirements that stalls shall have a high standard of presentation and appearance. In addition The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing
The community events are even worse than the existing traders. I think the pedestrian precinct in the town centre is not the place for these events.	N/A	Comment - The effect on users of the highway is a key consideration when determining applications and already forms part of the Policy
some of the consent costs involved will make the small events unviable .this will particularly affect events in smaller communities which receive no promotion at all from SBC and rely on voluntary effort to make things happen. What happens to things like charity bookstalls and tiny car boots, and village garage stall days,which are not always to make money as opposed to publicising village groups and facilities.	N/A	Comment - Although it is appreciated charitable and community events wish to maximise the funds raised, it is important that the Council adopts a broadly consistent approach in respect of Street Trading. However, it is acknowledged that fees should be proportionate to the scale of the event. Consequently a new sliding scale of fees is proposed for community events, farmers markets etc. where by a charge of £25 shall be made for each trader with a maximum fee for any such event of £200.
every one should have the right to a reduced time period	No	Comment - Given the nature of Community and similar events, a short term consent (generally for one day) is considered appropriate.

Street Trading Policy - 3.4 and Appendix A2 to A4 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
Scarborough has a perfectly adequate market in the town centre. Charity stalls will have to be monitored carefully as some so called 'charities' are not what they appear to be?	N/A	Comment - The Policy also aims to ensure all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated.
We have the Market Hall. Farmer's Markets etc should be privately run on private land, it is not SBC's job to provide such facilities; especially as in terms of economic and financial return this will be a cost to local taxpayers.	N/A	Comment - Street Trading on private land still falls within the scope of the legislation provided no payment is required for entry.
Too much overkill	N/A	Comment - The Policy aims to ensure all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated.
Charging hard pressed charities and community groups as well as making them sort out all the application paperwork will stop these events happening. We are becoming a society mired in red tape and trying to control creativity and innovation.	N/A	Comment - Although it is appreciated charitable and community events wish to maximize the funds raised, it is important that the Council adopts a consistent approach for all street traders.
We consider that this legislation is not appropriate for the events which have a wholly and proven charitable focus and intent for the reasons stated .	N/A	Comment - Although it is appreciated charitable and community events wish to maximize the funds raised, it is important that the Council adopts a consistent approach for all street traders.
The needs should be balanced with those of local businesses, who pay full rents and rates. Street traders selling comparable products/services with lower overheads harm local businesses. There should be an element of quality control.	N/A	Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing.
any variance allows exceptions to the rule, so some may be following the regulations & others will not be	N/A	Comment - The Policy aims to ensure all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated.

Street Trading Policy - 3.4 and Appendix A2 to A4 Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
No - The Whitby Regatta organisation again request that licences exclude other planned local events that hire Street Traders to subsidise the cost of running that event. In particular the Whitby Regatta, which is now in its 177th year, would be severely financially disadvantaged if licences were issued during the Regatta weekend. The booking, control and policing of traders within all areas of the town during this period has always been a commitment that our marshals and volunteers have accepted.	No	Comment - Scarborough Borough Council is the regulatory authority for street trading across the whole of the Borough. Restricting trade during such events would unfairly disadvantaging consent holders who have invested in a 3,6 or 12 month Consent. It should also be noted that such events tend to take place during the busiest times of the year thereby increasing the severity any such restriction would have.
they should be free as they are supporting the local borough	N/A	Comment - Although it is appreciated charitable and community events wish to maximize the funds raised, it is important that the Council adopts a consistent approach for all street traders.
We agree with what you trying to say regarding the farmers market and charity events etc. However all the small mobile stalls that seem to arrive in Whitby alongside these events need to be regulated somehow.	N/A	Comment - The Policy aims to ensure all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated.

Draft Street Trading Policy 2016

Other Comments

Street Trading Policy - General Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>Please get rid of the tat sellers limit it to one big issue seller no charity muggers who hassle you in the town centre goods should be of a high class quality - trading standards should definately be involved and not juust on the street but on some of the tat shops too Scarborough Town Centre is not somewhere I shop now - prefer to go to Pickering, Malton or Whitby until it is improved.</p>	N/A	<p>Comment - This supports the introduction of a formalised Street Trading Policy, specifically the requirement for stalls to have a high standard of presentation and appearance. The Policy seeks to encourage a thriving street trading environment that co-exists and enhances local premises based business, the Policy also aims to ensure all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated</p>
<p>Yes I believe some of the stalls currently in the town are selling low quality rubbish and do nothing to enhance the town centre experience. These may in fact be the peddlers license ones , as no I.D is currently worn by anyone I am quite uncertain!</p>	N/A	<p>Comment - This supports the introduction of a formalised Street Trading Policy, specifically the requirement for stalls to have a high standard of presentation and appearance. The Policy seeks to encourage a thriving street trading environment that co-exists and enhances local premises based business, the Policy also aims to ensure all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated</p>
<p>There was no mention of times for trading?</p>	No	<p>A street trader is required to include the intended hours of operation within their application. In addition the impact street trading may have on the surrounding area will be carefully considered which may lead to the permitted hours being restricted where deemed necessary.</p>

Street Trading Policy - General Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>Any markets, community markets, farmers markets, etc. should not be held in the pedestrian precinct in the town centre. The town does have a market hall and I believe any and all of these events should be on Queen Street or Market Street near the market. Traffic can be diverted around that area quite easily and it would make more sense that they be there. I am sure that the business operating around the pedestrian precinct don't think the street traders enhance their businesses. Why does Scarborough always seem to cater to cheap rubbish? It's no wonder businesses don't want to open in this town. If we want to attract the better businesses and have people go into the town centre to enjoy the "shopping experience" we need to make it more attractive, not dumb it down with tat and rubbish.</p>	N/A	<p>Comment - This supports the introduction of a formalised Street Trading Policy, specifically the requirement for stalls to have a high standard of presentation and appearance. The Policy seeks to encourage a thriving street trading environment that co-exists and enhances local premises based business, the Policy also aims to ensure all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated. The effect on users of the highway is a key consideration when determining applications and already forms part of the Policy.</p>
<p>This is a very good plan and will stop anyone street selling without permission. This I am sure will be welcomed by most residents.</p>	N/A	<p>Comment - The Policy aims to ensure all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated</p>
<p>I think it is very important to know who new applicants are so sticking to the 12 month holders is vital.</p>	N/A	<p>Comment</p>
<p>I've never seen any problems with street vendors, any thought of making a charity pay for it is disgraceful</p>	N/A	<p>Comment - Although it is appreciated charitable and community events wish to maximize the funds raised, it is important that the Council adopts a consistent approach for all street traders.</p>
<p>Basically sounds good.</p>	N/A	<p>Comment</p>

Street Trading Policy - General Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
see feedback already given	N/A	Comment
A variety of traders enlivens the town centre but they must be controlled. Too much unfair competition with permanent rent and rates paying businesses is unfair. Great if they bring something different.	N/A	Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing. The Policy also aims to ensure all street trading is effectively and comprehensively regulated
Above and beyond all other considerations Street Trading is known for its susceptibility to criminality. To ignore this is to risk problems that could otherwise be avoided. It is essential in my view that the prime source of advice and help in the management of this proposal is the local Police.	N/A	Comment - North Yorkshire Police will be consulted on all applications and their comments carefully considered when determining any application along with an applicant's criminal record disclosure.
No	N/A	Comment
no	N/A	Comment
I do feel that the street markets held in the town take money away and trade to other shops and our market. Why can't it be moved to draw customers to the lower town.	N/A	Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing.
These regulations should also apply to street "buskers/musicians". who often take up more space and cause more disruption than street traders.	N/A	Comment - Street musicians do not fall under the scope of street trading unless they are selling articles.
I hope that these new rules will not apply to street collections by RNLI or British Legion ect.	N/A	Comment - Charitable Street Collections are authorised separately and do not fall under the street trading regime.
Sounds good to me	N/A	Comment
The policy is right providing it is viewed with due care, stalls that are in place now show a disregard for the public or local businesses.	N/A	Comment - This supports the introduction of a formalised Street Trading Policy as the Policy includes requirements that stalls shall have a high standard of presentation and appearance.

Street Trading Policy - General Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
None	N/A	Comment
Nothing to add.	N/A	Comment
<p>The encouraging of Street Trading has no place in the role and responsibility of Local Authorities in non designated market locations. The Local Authority is misguided in it's approach and appears not to realise that such activity brings no net revenue return to the Borough and has a specific detriment to the local economy as a whole.</p>	N/A	<p>Comment - The Local Authority is responsible for administrating street trading and wishes to create a vibrant and diverse street trading environment which enhances the character, ambience and safety of the local environment whilst balancing the needs of the Borough's residents and current businesses.</p>
<p>The selling of cars on roads needs to be stopped.</p>	N/A	<p>Comment - The Policy states that the commercial selling of vehicles on the highway falls within the scope of street trading and will therefore be subject to regulatory action as appropriate.</p>
No	N/A	Comment
None	N/A	Comment
<p>I approve of the conditions relating to waste disposal, supply chain, packaging and carbon impact. Street sellers at the Harley Davidson bike ride in Scarborough had driven from Manchester to sell some plastic flashing lights that will end up in the bin when the batteries run out.</p>	N/A	<p>Comment - This supports the introduction of a formalised Street Trading Policy as the Policy includes requirements that stalls shall have a high standard of presentation and appearance.</p>
<p>Just trading on the street of my residency, bearing in mind that the trader is not a resident yet sells multiple cars by the junction (way less than 20 metres away!) and will often have a tow truck type of vehicle and a large silver van. It is not fair and we have not had a say in this matter, it affects the traffic in a negative aspect. It affects the residents also.</p>	N/A	<p>Comment - The Policy states that the commercial selling of vehicles on the highway falls within the scope of street trading and will therefore be subject to regulatory action as appropriate.</p>

Street Trading Policy - General Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>The fees on some of the policy seem steep, especially for smaller traders. Therefore likely to deter some possible traders.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - The proposed fees have been set on a cost recovery basis and shall be regularly reviewed to ensure they continue to reflect the costs of delivering the street trading regime.</p>
<p>It is to be hoped that this is not just a revenue raising exercise, which will cause disruption and inconvenience to the Residents of Scarborough Borough. This could potentially turn people away from visiting our Town and make existing businesses unviable. There appears to be little work done on this aspect of Street Trading, other than creating some distance between similar types of offerings. When bad weather arrives suddenly, will there be a sudden exodus to evacuate these pop up sites, potentially leading to a rushing around of motor vehicles? Re Commercial selling of vehicles on the highway:- This provision seems to be so weak, as to be genuinely meaningful. Presumably, if two or more people work in co-operation, with each other, regarding using different phone numbers for different sale vehicles,etc. they can trade to whatever extent they wish in selling cars on our public highways and not be prevented by this new ruling. To my mind, this aspect of street trading has not been given sufficient consideration, to ensure any meaningful effective restrictions upon the presently existing and unacceptable practices of existing ongoing regular car sales people. Thank you for this opportunity to comment freely upon these proposals. Cllr. David Raine</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - The Policy seeks to create a vibrant and diverse street trading environment which enhances the character, ambience and safety of the local environment whilst balancing the needs of the Borough's residents and current businesses. The Policy states that the commercial selling of vehicles on the highway falls within the scope of street trading and will therefore be subject to regulatory action as appropriate.</p>

Street Trading Policy - General Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
The Towns need to attract tourists, but also provide a good place for local residents too. The policy should be part of a co-ordinated medium term strategy working with permanent local businesses.	N/A	Comment - The Local Authority wishes to create a vibrant and diverse street trading environment which enhances the character, ambience and safety of the local environment whilst balancing the needs of the Borough's residents and current businesses. This will include working closely with a number of key stakeholders.
I would like to see conditions put in place to protect stall holders especially those selling food not tinned or adequately covered from possible threat by dog owners allowing their animals to get close to the stall with a risk of contamination from dog urine etc	N/A	Comment - Given the very nature of street trading, it is not possible to impose controls over dogs by the use of conditions.
The policy needs to reflect the need for a vibrant and diverse street trading environment which adds to and enhances a vibrant and diverse permanent retail trading environment to avoid Scarborough/Whitby and Filey becoming nondescript/sterile/bland "retail highways of nothingness" which can be found in other towns/cities in UK.The Council need to ensure proper safeguards as mentioned in the policy are robustly upheld/adhered to, but not stifle innovative/creative/fun applications that add to an enjoyable/memorable retail/shopping experience.	N/A	Comment - The Local Authority wishes to create a vibrant and diverse street trading environment which enhances the character, ambience and safety of the local environment whilst balancing the needs of the Borough's residents and current businesses
Not at this time. The policy needs to be tested and amended if found to be lacking and I believe that provision has been made for that.	N/A	Comment
So long as they conform to all necessary safety and access by the public going about their usual modus operandii.	N/A	Comment

Street Trading Policy - General Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>No reference is made to street busking, will this be taken into account when forming the street trading policy, it is after all a way of raising income ! Busking is a high priority to residents when it comes to controlling the amplification of street music, a policy which at the moment is not enforced so therefore requires bringing into line with inclusion in this policy.</p>	N/A	Comment - Street musicians do not fall under the scope of street trading unless they are selling articles.

Street Trading Policy - General Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>The Whitby Regatta is organised by a small voluntary committee which controls events throughout the Regatta weekend during the month of August each year. Liaising closely with members of the Safety Advisory Group and all other concerned organisations during the planning stages and the event itself, the committee is aided by up to 30 marshals and volunteers with the majority of them having attended a Conflict Management Training Course. This prestigious event attracts many visitors to the area with the majority choosing to book accommodation in the town in addition to other borough municipalities. The organisation considers that the policy is needed, worthwhile and is a well presented document which will enhance the experience of visitors to the area. However, we need to protect the current long established events that attract visitors to the area and which rely on income from the various recognised and agreed sources. If licences are granted to cover these weekends the financial impact on continuing these in their present format could be severely affected by those allowed to operate outside the control of the Whitby Regatta. Officers of the Whitby Regatta Committee stand ready to discuss with your members if required and would welcome being part of the Stakeholder Group if possible.</p>	N/A	<p>Comment - Restricting trade during such events would unfairly disadvantaging consent holders who have invested in a 3,6 or 12 month Consent. It should also be noted that such events tend to take place during the busiest times of the year thereby increasing the severity any such restriction would have.</p>
No this sounds very reasonable.	N/A	Comment
Please publish a clear guide for any future applications	N/A	<p>Comment - Guidance will be produced for applicants prior to the implementation of the Policy.</p>

Street Trading Policy - General Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
No, I think its OK	N/A	Comment
1-22 ?hours . is ?am to early. 11pm is to late for any event There is no need to serve alcohol on the street, under any circumstances No drinking on the streets is a byelaw. So to have a law allowing it is unacceptable. There is no ???? requiring the sale of alcohol. Remember the last "Beached" event.	N/A	Comment
To add a policy of no busking, fancy dress collection persons not be allowed without a permit it is very off putting to people shopping trying to avoid these people	N/A	Comment - These matters fall outside the scope of street trading and are covered by other legislation.
I agree with what you are trying to achieve. Scarborough needs to feel more updated and not have these people just putting a stall anywhere. It is making Scarborough any eyesore. Scarborough is a beautiful town and some people are making it not very nice. We need to choose that street traders who are going to enhance Scarborough.	N/A	Comment - This supports the introduction of a formalised Street Trading Policy which includes requirements for stalls to have a high standard of presentation and appearance.
A 12 month permit at £600 (£400) does seem cheap relative to the costs of other permits/pitches - with full use the trader will be paying £2/£3 per day of trading.	N/A	Comment - The proposed fees have been set on a cost recovery basis and shall be regularly reviewed to ensure they continue to reflect the costs of delivering the street trading regime.

Street Trading Policy - General Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>Scalby Fair Committee has considered the new proposals carefully and whilst nobody likes red tape just for the sake of it it's aims are laudable and mostly reasonable. However please read a letter from me as chairman dated 8 February which will shortly be sent to mr Jonathan Bramley, your compliance officer. This sets out in greater detail our areas of concern which we would like you to address asap</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - Additional letter dated 8 February noted.</p>
<p>Risk Assessments:- It would be unlikely that all Consent Holders possess the necessary skills and expertise to carry out satisfactory and comprehensive risk assessments. It is suggested the Council make aware to the Consent Holders where suitable training/courses can be accessed if required.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - The Council is unable to recommend training or course providers.</p>

Street Trading Policy - General Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
<p>This is long overdue . Whitby is plagued by buskers,and dubious street traders taking up needed space during the height of the season,competing with rate paying businesses and I would guess paying no taxes. WDTA welcome this move but have grave doubts about SBC capability to enforce it.Much of this takes place at weekends and bank holidays when SBC are not available. Whitby is particularly blighted by busking, the shape of the town creates its own amplification.Buskers use amplifiers ,against current rules and are often abusive when asked to move/stop. We welcome this but doubt SBC will create any meaningful enforcement. WDTA would wish to be represented on any panel . WDTA wish this response be forwarded to Cllr Bill Chatt.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Comment - This supports the introduction of a formalised Street Trading Policy</p>

Street Trading Policy - General Comments

Accepted into Policy?

Reason why or why not?

I already have a three year council licence licence(signed 2016) for my two council pitches on the Beach at Robin Hoods Bay and at Staithes that i have occupied from early 1990s that i pay £1600 each per year for plus rates, what happens to these licences?.Trillos,Beacon Farm etc also have i believe similar licences with the council for vans and huts etc.A vehicle like an ice cream van can cost up to £45000 that is a big investment would the existing licence holders be sure of still having their sites when the new licences come in?We already have conditions etc already set in our licences pertinent to the licences.As we already have licences with conditions with the council already in place, could i suggest you maybe incorporate the new set of conditions into the existing licences. If and when we swop over to the proposed street tradeing licence with the proposed fees of £400 per year the council would loose money on our sites as we pay much more at the moment or would that be on top of the existing £1600?.I can see the merits of the scheme to control unregulated street tradeing but do believe the traders already covered by a council licence on council sites are already well regulated.I am not sure how many licences the council has at the moment but for information

N/A

Comment - Responded to separately.

Street Trading Policy - General Comments	Accepted into Policy?	Reason why or why not?
Section 5.1.2 - Possibly longer for rural areas 3.4 and Appendix A2-A4 - Short term and add to the atmosphere	N/A	Comment - Officers have considered the consultation period and believe that the proposed 10 working days represents a fair balance, in that it affords consultees with effectively 2 weeks to respond without unduly delaying applications
Appendix A1 - Just to clarify - supposing a 'badge' is unfortunately misplaced (lost) - what measures are in place for solution?? Reference to Q21 - a solid query requiring a sensible answer!	N/A	Comment - A procedure will be in place for consent holders to obtain replacement badges.
Are Buskers and Street Entertainers covered by this policy, if they are not they should be. If they are covered by this Policy the document needs to make it clear that they are. Recommend there is an area on the website for listing pending applications in the same way as planning applications.	N/A	Comment - Buskers and Street Musicians fall outside the scope of the street trading regime.
I think it is important that local businesses feel they have some protection from the 'cheap and cheerful' type market traders who seem to undercut prices and on numerous occasions leave a mess.	N/A	Comment - The Policy states that the needs of the area shall be considered when determining applications. Specifically whether the demand for the articles proposed to be sold is already met in the geographical area by adequate and sufficient provision of other trading outlets already existing